

APPENDIX A - Location of the Alyeska Seafoods - Unalaska facility



APPENDIX B - Diagram of the Alyeska Seafoods - Unalaska facility



APPENDIX C - Synopsis of Modeling the Discharge of Settleable Solid Seafood Residues

TECHNICAL BRIEF FOR EXPANDED SEAFOOD MODEL RUNS Gary Braun, Senior Scientist Tetra Tech, Inc, 15400 NE 90th Street, Suite 100, Redmond, WA, 98052 September 30, 1996.

In support of the development of a general NPDES permit for shore-based, near shore, and offshore seafood processors, Tetra Tech prepared an ocean discharge criteria evaluation (ODCE) report in July 1994. The report concentrated on characterizing the effluent being discharge from the covered facilities and its potential effect on receiving waters. The report also developed preliminary modeling predictions for the deposition of solid seafood waste residues on the sea floor.

Technical directive No. 12 directed Tetra Tech to expand the modeling assessment of the deposition of settleable solid seafood waste residues from processors using different particle size assumptions. Tetra Tech was also directed to determine endpoints for maximum discharges which produce deposition areas of zero, one, and two acres using the case scenarios defined in the previous ODCE.

To accomplish this task, three general cases were modeled (i.e., 0.5 inch, 0.38 inch, and 0.04 inch maximum particle size) and the results are presented in Tables 1, 2, and 3, respectively. No changes in the WASP5 model were required to perform these model simulations, however, the input files used for each model run were modified as needed and are described below. Results of the predicted areal coverage of solid wastes for both the WASP output, based on the number and area of grids, and SURFER, based on contours of depth accumulation, are reported. In general, the determination of the endpoints for maximum discharges that produce zero, one, and two acre waste piles are based on the areas estimated by SURFER, the 3-dimensional contouring software.

Table 1 depicts the area and thickness of a waste pile which results from discharging seafood waste solids that have been ground to a maximum particle size of 0.5 inch. The assessment assumes that 60% of the loading mass of particles is 0.5" in diameter, 20% is 0.25", and 20% is 0.125".

Table 2 depicts the area and thickness of a waste pile which results from discharging seafood waste solids that have been ground to a maximum particle size of 0.375 inch. The assessment assumes that 60% of the loading mass of particles is 0.375" in diameter, 20% is 0.188", and 20% is 0.094".

Table 3 depicts the area and thickness of a waste pile which results from discharging seafood waste solids that have been ground to a maximum particle size of 0.04 inch (= 1 mm). The assessment assumes that 100% of the loading mass of particles is 0.04" in.

 $^{\mbox{\scriptsize b}}$ Area coverage of solid waste estimated by SURFER**.

^C Area coverage of solid waste estimated using WASP output.

Case # ^a	Net-Drift Current Speed (cm/sec)	Water Depth (m)	Bottom Slope (%)	Waste Solids Discharge Rate (lb/yr wet weight)	Maximum Waste Accumulation Depth (cm)	Areal Coverage (acres	
						s ^b	W ^c
Near-Bott	om Shore-Based	Discharges					
1	5.0	15.2	0.0	200,000	2.9	0.0	0.1
				16,000,000	230	1.0	0.8
				100,000,000	1,435	1.8	1.3
			0,0	200,000	2.2	0.0	0.1
2	15.0	15.2		12,000,000	133	1.2	1.0
		•		40,000,000	445	2.1	1.4
_				100,000	1.4	0.0	0.1
3	5.0	15.2	12.5	20,000,000	230	1.0	0.8
				100,000,000	1,438	1.8	1.4
	ŕ	•		100,000	1.1	0.0	0.1
4	15.0	15.2	12.5	16,000,000	179	1.3	1.1
				40,000,000	446.4	2.1	1.4
5	5.0	15.2	25.0	20,000,000	288	1.0	0.8
6	15.0	15.2	25.0	16,000,000	179	1.3	1.1
Vear-Surf	ace Floating Disc	harges in Open	Ocean				
	5.0	15.2	0.0	200,000	1.8	0.0.	0.1
7				8,000,000	63.4	1.0	0.8
				20,000,000	176.2	2.0	1.4
				300,000	1.4	0.0	0.2
8	15.0	15.2	0.0	4,000,000	19.2	1.2	0.6
				10,000,000	48.0	2.0	1.9
9				300,000	1.8	0.0	0.2
	5.0	30.5	0.0	4,000,000	24.2	1.1	0.9
			<u> </u>	10,000,000	60.5	2.0	1.4
10				400,000	1.2	0.0	0.1
	15.0	30.5	0.0	4,000,000	12.3	1.3	1.0
				11,000,000	44.8	2.0	1.4
				300,000	1.4	0.0	0.1
11	5.0	45.7	0.0	4,000,000	18.5	1.2	1.2
		l		8,000,000	37.1	2.0	1.4
12				700,000	1.4	0.0	0.2
	15.0	45.7	0.0	4,000,000	8.0	1.3	1.0
			l	7,000,000	14.0	2.1	1.5

	TABLE 2. SEAFOOD WASTE ACCUMULATION MODEL RESULTS FOR MAXIMUM PARTICLE SIZE OF 0.95 CM (0.38 IN)									
	Net-Drift Current Speed	Water Depth	Bottom Slope	Waste Solids Discharge Rate	Maximum Waste Accumulation	Areal Coverage (acres)				
Case # ^a	(cm/sec)	(m)	(%)	(lb/yr wet weight)	Depth (cm)	s _p	w ^c			
Near-Bott	Near-Bottom Shore-Based Discharges									
				100,000	1.3	0.0	0.1			
1	5.0	15.2	0.0	16,000,000 90,000,000	215 1,214	1.1 2.0	0.8 1.6			
		. "		150,000	1.5	0.0	0.1			
2	15.0	15.2	0,0	6,000,000 28,000,000	60.1 280.3	1.0 2.0	0.3 1.4			
				150,000	2.0	0.0	0.1			
3	5.0	15.2	12.5	16,000,000	216.6	1.0	0.8			
				90,000,000	1,218	2.0	1.6			
				150,000	1.5	0.0	0.1			
4	15.0	15.2	12.5	6,000,000 28,000,000	60.1 280.8	1.0 2.0	0.3 1.4			
			<u> </u>	28,000,000	280.8	2.0	1.4			
Near-Surf	ace Floating Disc	charges in Open	Ocean							
_				200,000	1.5	0.0	0.1			
7	5.0	15.2	0.0	4,000,000	30.4	1.0	0.8			
		-	<u> </u>	15,000,000	114.1	2.0	1.4			
	15.0			400,000	1.5	0.0.	0.2			
8	15.0	15.2	0.0	3,000,000 9,000,000	11.4 34.2	1.1 2.1	0.6 1.9			
 										
وا	5.0	30.5	0.0	300,000	1.5	0.0	0.1			
,	٠.٠	30.5	0.0	3,000,000 8,000,000	15.0 40.0	1.0 2.0	0.7 1.4			
				400,000	1.4	0.0	0.2			
10	15.0	30.5	0.0	3,000,000	10.5	1.1	0.6			
				7,600,000	26.5	2.0	2.3			
				400,000	1.5	0.0	0.2			
11	5.0	45.7	0.0	3,000,000	11.2	1.0	0.9			
				7,000,000	26.2	2.0	1.9			
1				800,000	1.3	0.0	0.2			
12	15.0	45.7	0.0	3,500,000 7,000,000	5.6 11.0	1.1 2.1	1.0 1.0			
<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> L</u>	7,000,000	11.0	2.1	1.0			

^a Case numbers correspond to the case scenarios outlined in Table 3-5 of the ODCE.

b Area coverage of solid waste estimated by SURFER™.

^C Area coverage of solid waste estimated using WASP output.

TABLE 3. SEAFOOD WASTE ACCUMULATION MODEL RESULTS FOR MAXIMUM PARTICLE SIZE OF 1.0 MM (0.04 IN)										
	Net-Drift Current Speed	Water Depth	Bottom Slope	Waste Solids Discharge Rate	Maximum Waste Accumulation	Areal Coverage (acres)				
Case # ^a	(cm/sec)	(m)	(%)	(lb/yr wet weight)	Depth (cm)	S _p	w ^c			
Near-Bott	Near-Bottom Shore-Based Discharges									
				500,000	1.1	0.0	0.1			
1	5.0	15.2	0.0	3,000,000 8,000,000	15.7 41.7	1.0 2.0	0.9 1.4			
				600,000	1.5	0.0	0.2			
2	15.0	15.2	0.0	3,000,000	7.5	1.0	0.6			
				7,000,000	17.6	2.1	1.5			
				300,000	1.6	0.0	0.1			
3	5.0 .	15.2	12.5	3,000,000	15.8	1.0	0.8			
				8,000,000	42.1	2.0	1.4			
				600,000	1.5	0.0	0.2			
4	15.0	15.2	12.5	3,000,000	7.6	1.0	0.6			
				7,000,000	17.7	2.1	1.3			
Near-Suri	ace Floating Disc	harges in Open	Ocean							
				1,000,000	1.1	0.0	0.2			
7	5.0	15.2	0.0	3,500,000	4.0	1.1	0.6			
				6,000,000	6.8	2.0	2.1			
			1	3,000,000	1.3	0.0	0.5			
8	15.0	15.2	0.0	6,000,000	2.6	1.0	1.0			
				8,500,000	3.7	2.0	1.9			
			ļ	. 2,000,000	1.2	0.0	0.2			
9	5.0	30.5	0.0	5,000,000	2.9	1.0	0.6			
				8,000,000	4.7	2.2	2.1			
				3,000,000	1.1	0.0	0.3			
10	15.0	30.5	0.0	7,000,000	2.6	1.0	1.0			
				10,000,000	3.7	2.0	1.5			
				3,000,000	1.2	0.0	0.2			
11	5.0	45.7	0.0	7,000,000	2.3	1.0	1.0			
	·			10,000,000	3.9	2.1	1.9			
				9,000,000	1.3	0.0	0.9			
12	15.0	45.7	0.0	16,000,000	2.3	1.0	1.9			
a Casa au	<u> </u>	·	<u> </u>	20,000,000	2.9	2.0	1.9			

^a Case numbers correspond to the case scenarios outlined in Table 3-5 of the ODCE.

b Area coverage of solid waste estimated by SURFER^m.

^c Area coverage of solid waste estimated using WASP output.